



Yerba Buena High School

1855 Lucretia Ave. • San Jose, CA, 95122- • 408.347.4700 • Grades 9-12

Tom Huynh, Principal

huynhtom@esuhsd.org

2012-13 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2013-14 School Year

East Side Union High School District

830 N. Capitol Avenue
San Jose, CA 95133
(408) 347-5000
www.esuhsd.org

District Governing Board

Frank Biehl

J. Manuel Herrera

Van Thi Le

Magdalena Carrasco

Lan Nguyen

District Administration

Chris D. Funk

Superintendent

Juan Cruz

**Assistant Superintendent
Instructional Services**

Marcus Battle

**Associate Superintendent
Business Services**

Cari Vaeth

**Director
Human Resources**

About the SARC

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. All data are reported for the 2012-13 school-year, unless otherwise indicated. For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/. For additional information about this school, please contact the school administration at 408.347.4700.

School Description

Yerba Buena High School prides itself upon the connections that the staff makes to our students and their families. Our goal is to ensure that all students graduate college and career ready. We offer intervention programs for all students and every level to provide support for students to succeed academically, socially and emotionally. Over the past three years, Yerba Buena has garnered awards for its work in providing intervention services to our students and families.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement

The school learning community through parent involvement strives to establish practices and expectations that promote personal relationships and personal attention to its students. Five general parent meetings were held throughout the school year with a focus on family and school. In addition, parents are recruited to join the School Site Council, ELAC (English Language Advisory Committee), and DAC/BDAC. In addition to meetings the school has made efforts to provide social events for parents so that they can begin collaborating so they can support each other. Standing meetings hosted for parents include:

School Site Council: Once a month

ELAC: Once a month

DELAC: Once a month

Parent Engagement Meetings: 5 times a year.

Coffee with the Principals: Once a Month

Back to school nights: Twice a year

Parent Center: Open at all times

FAST Program. Once a month

Parent Project: Once a month

For more information about getting involved with Yerba Buena please call the main school at (408) 347-4700.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level	
Grade Level	Number of Students
Gr. 9	421
Gr. 10	438
Gr. 11	404
Gr. 12	411
Total	1,674

Student Enrollment by Group	
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	1.1
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.2
Asian	32.3
Filipino	6.0
Hispanic or Latino	57.8
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.4
White	1.9
Two or More Races	0.3
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	70.3
English Learners	69.7
Students with Disabilities	10.0

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution												
Average Class Size				Number of Classrooms*								
				1-20			21-32			33+		
Year	11	12	13	11	12	13	11	12	13	11	12	13
English	28.2	26.6	24	12	22	21	28	37	42	25	11	10
Math	29.1	24.5	26	10	23	19	17	32	34	28	15	16
Science	30.1	30.3	30	7	6	7	13	21	11	27	25	36
SS	29.8	29.9	24	6	8	17	13	10	17	25	24	21

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area, English, Math, Science and Social Science (SS), rather than grade level.

Suspensions and Expulsions			
School	10-11	11-12	12-13
Suspensions Rate	4.69	13.99	1.97
Expulsions Rate	0	0.1	0
District	10-11	11-12	12-13
Suspensions Rate	11.87	15.53	5.05
Expulsions Rate	0.14	0.1	0.15

* The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment (and multiplying by 100).

School Safety Plan

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

The Yerba Buena School Safety Committee has developed a school safety plan and monitors its implementation. The plan covers everything from violence prevention to earthquake preparedness. On a yearly basis the school safety plan is updated and reviewed. Important to the plan is the Parent and Community Safety Council held at the district level. Information shared at the meetings assists in updating safety matters at the site level.

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2013-14)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

Year and month in which data were collected: October 2013

Overview

The District makes every effort to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. To assist in this effort, the district uses a facility survey instrument developed by the State of California Office of Public School Construction. The results of this survey are available at the school office and at the district office.

Cleaning Process and Schedule

The district's Board of Trustees has adopted cleaning standards for all schools in the district. The Leadership Team works daily with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

Deferred Maintenance Budget

The district participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provides state matching funds on a dollar-for-dollar basis, to assist school districts with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically, this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior or exterior painting, and floors systems.

Age Of School Buildings

Yerba Buena High School has completed its 40th year of operation. All four main buildings containing classrooms have been renovated within the past 10 years. We are in the process of planning for the construction of our STEM and Student Union building. Our fencing project is in its final stages.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2013-14)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The Overall Rating (bottom row)

School Facility Good Repair Status				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[X]	[]	[]	Bldg 100: Restroom in teachers' lounge occasionally backs up. Action/plan- site to place work order and M&O will schedule the repair. Bldg 500: HVAC in theater is very unreliable. Action/plan, site to place work order and M&O will schedule the repair. Boys' Restroom: issue noted but no comment reported. Action/plan, site to place work order and M&O will schedule the repair.
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[X]	[]	[]	Swimming Pools: issue noted but no comment reported. Action/plan- site to place work order and M&O will schedule the repair.
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[X]	[]	[]	No comments
Electrical: Electrical	[]	[X]	[]	Tennis Courts: vandalism has resulted in loss of copper wires to power lights. Action/plan- lights are not needed since tennis courts have not been used district wide. Pedestrian walkway: issue noted but no comment reported. Action/plan, site to place work order and M&O will schedule the repair. Boys' & Girls' Restroom: lights sporadically do not work. Action/plan- site to place work order and M&O will schedule the repair.
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[X]	[]	[]	Bldg 100/ 200: issue noted but no comment reported. Action/plan, site to place work order and M&O will schedule the repair. Boys' & Girls' Restrooms: sinks are inconsistent, sometimes stick and will not turn off. Action/plan- site to place work order and M&O will schedule the repair.
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[X]	[]	[]	No comments
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[X]	[]	[]	Bldg 200: roof near 206 has damaged roof tiles. Action/plan- site to place work order and M&O will schedule the repair.
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[X]	[]	[]	No comments
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
	[]	[X]	[]	[]

Teacher Credentials			
School	10-11	11-12	12-13
Fully Credentialed	69	67.1	69.2
Without Full Credential	3	3	1
Teaching Outside Subject Area	0	0	0
Districtwide	10-11	11-12	12-13
Fully Credentialed	♦	♦	978
Without Full Credential	♦	♦	28

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School			
School	11-12	12-13	13-14
Teachers of English Learners	1	1	2
Total Teacher Misassignments	1	1	2
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	1	0

* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

Professional Development

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period.

Professional development opportunities for staff members are multifaceted and clearly and consistently linked to the state's standards, district goals, the school's core values, and occur during the school year and summer break. Our school has a coherent, comprehensive plan for professional development that is data driven and directly linked to teaching and learning. Not only do teachers and staff participate in staff development opportunities at the school, but they also take advantage of multiple professional development opportunities at the District. In addition, many teachers take professional growth classes at local colleges and universities and attend workshops offered by the Santa Clara County Office of Education. BTSAs and new teacher orientation meetings support new instructors. The school has created and successfully implemented a collaboration model for professional development. School wide and departmental meetings are held regularly so that teachers can continue to work on professional development to support school-wide efforts to align curriculum with rigorous state content standards as well as to provide instructional support for literacy and differentiation to assure the achievement of all students.

To ensure a cycle of continuous improvement, professional development is personalized to address the needs of all subject-area teachers, staff, and administrators. Not only do professional development activities for teachers reflect a best practices approach, but they also align with the California Standards for the Teaching Profession. Teachers and staff participate in professional development that is aligned with their individual fields and district plans at multiple levels. At the school level, professional development is structured to have a generalized focus (e.g., standards-based instruction), and specific facets of the professional development program (e.g., specific instructional strategies) are personalized to address the specific content area dynamics and needs. For example, in English, teachers are examining student work to ascertain effective standards-based instructional strategies, while in Physical Education, teachers are reviewing the FitnessGram data to establish effective instruction strategies for physical fitness instruction. The district also offers a multitude of professional development opportunities to broaden teachers' knowledge, enhance their classroom management skills, and augment their repertoire of best practices instructional strategies.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* webpage at: www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/

Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers		
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	90.5	9.5
Districtwide		
All Schools	96.1	4.0
High-Poverty Schools	94.6	5.4
Low-Poverty Schools	97.4	2.6

* High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School	
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)	
Academic Counselor	2.6
Social/Behavioral or Career Development Counselor	0
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	.25
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0
Psychologist	.5
Social Worker	1
Nurse	.5
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0
Resource Specialist	0
Other	0
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	643

* One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2011-12)				
Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	\$7,396	\$1,623	\$5,773	\$77,535
District	♦	♦	\$5,727	\$77,737
State	♦	♦	\$5,537	\$71,584
Percent Difference: School Site/District			0.8	-0.9
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			-5.2	8.4

* **Supplemental/Restricted** expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.

* **Basic/Unrestricted** expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: www.ed-data.org.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assists students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

Collect data to identify students as (Core, Strategic or Intensive)

Enroll strategic students in English 1and ELA CAHSEE intervention program.

Read 180 ELA intervention program

Enroll intensive students in LA3 w/Read 180 block. Language intervention programs

Implement afterschool academic support programs (Homework Center, AVID, CAHSEE Intervention, MESA, and YWCA Project Inspire After School Program)

Departments will develop common end-of-course assessments that incorporate Language Arts Standards tested by CAHSEE.

Implement scaffolding and differentiated techniques in accordance with the district's Academic Language initiative

Continue to implement a Saturday Academic and ADA Recovery Intervention Program

Continue to implement an in-house intervention program.

Provide a comprehensive parent and student support services

MST services

Campus Monitoring

Smaller counselor to student ratio

Parent literacy and support services

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2011-12)		
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$47,104	\$42,865
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$74,444	\$69,484
Highest Teacher Salary	\$95,445	\$89,290
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$0	
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$0	\$119,946
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$117,702	\$128,378
Superintendent Salary	\$217,392	\$202,664
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	39.7%	36.8%
Administrative Salaries	3.5%	4.9%

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2013-14)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected: September 2012

Textbooks and Instructional Materials

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
<p>Reading/Language Arts</p> <p>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes</p> <p>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%</p>	<p>English 1 – “The Language of Literature” Grade 9 McDougal Littell 2002</p> <p>English 2 – “The Language of Literature” Grade 10 McDougal Littell 2002</p> <p>English 3 – “Timeless Voices Timeless Themes Am. Experience” Prentice Hall 2000</p> <p>English 4 – “The Language of Literature World Literature” McDougal Littell 2002</p>
<p>Mathematics</p> <p>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes</p> <p>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%</p>	<p>Algebra I – “Algebra 1” McDougall Littell 2007</p> <p>Geometry – “Geometry” McDougal Littell 2007</p> <p>Algebra II – “Algebra 2” McDougal Littell 2007</p> <p>Math Analysis – “Precalculus With Limits” Houghton Mifflin 2001</p>
<p>Science</p> <p>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes</p> <p>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%</p>	<p>Integrated Science 1 – “Spectrum Physical Approach/Science/Explorations” Holt 2001, 03, 04</p> <p>Biology – “Biology” Holt 2004</p> <p>Chemistry – “Chemistry” Merrill/Glencoe 1998</p> <p>Physics – “Physics: Principles and Problems” Merrill/Glencoe/Holt 1998, 2000</p>
<p>History-Social Science</p> <p>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes</p> <p>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%</p>	<p>World History – “Modern World History” McDougal-Littell 2003</p> <p>US History – “The American Vision” Glencoe 2006</p> <p>American Government – “Magruder’s American Government” Prentice Hall 1997</p> <p>American Government – “We the People” Center for Civic Education 2002</p> <p>Economics – “Holt Economics” Holt 2003</p>
<p>Foreign Language</p> <p>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes</p> <p>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%</p>	<p>Textbooks and Instructional Materials in use are standards aligned and officially adopted</p>
<p>Health</p> <p>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes</p> <p>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%</p>	<p>Textbooks and Instructional Materials in use are standards aligned and officially adopted</p>
<p>Visual and Performing Arts</p> <p>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes</p> <p>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%</p>	<p>Textbooks and Instructional Materials in use are standards aligned and officially adopted</p>
<p>Science Laboratory Equipment</p> <p>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes</p> <p>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%</p>	<p>Science labs are adequately equipped</p>

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- **California Standards Tests (CSTs)**, which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics (Math) in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science (H-SS) in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- **California Modified Assessment (CMA)**, an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- **California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA)**, includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the Percent of Students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at star.cde.ca.gov.

STAR Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison									
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced								
	School			District			State		
	10-11	11-12	12-13	10-11	11-12	12-13	10-11	11-12	12-13
ELA	36	38	39	49	50	52	54	56	55
Math	25	24	21	30	29	28	49	50	50
Science	25	31	33	50	52	52	57	60	59
H-SS	27	29	30	43	43	45	48	49	49

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible. Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

2013 STAR Results by Student Group				
Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	ELA	Math	Science	H-SS
All Students in the LEA	52	28	52	45
All Student at the School	39	21	34	30
Male	35	21	33	32
Female	42	22	34	28
Black or African American	22	5		
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	57	43	55	48
Filipino	58	23	38	38
Hispanic or Latino	27	9	22	17
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander				
White	27	20		
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	36	22	29	28
English Learners	8	12	7	4
Students with Disabilities	22	15	22	11
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				

California Physical Fitness Test Results

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the Percent of Students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
9	11.4	31.5	45.9

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest webpage at dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

API Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison			
Group	Actual API Change		
	10-11	11-12	12-13
All Students at the School	13	0	-2
Black or African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian	14	1	4
Filipino			
Hispanic or Latino	10	-4	-1
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander			
White			
Two or More Races			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	11	2	-6
English Learners	14	9	40
Students with Disabilities			-11

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The **statewide API rank** ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state. The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison			
API Rank	2010	2011	2012
Statewide	2	3	2
Similar Schools	2	2	2

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2013-14)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations webpage: www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2009-2010	2004-2005
Year in Program Improvement	Year 5	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	13	
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	81.3	

API Growth by Student Group - 2013 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2013 Growth API (API-G) at the school, district, and state level.

Group		School	District	State
All Students at the School	Students	1,145	16,556	4,655,989
	API-G	684	751	790
Black or African American	Students	15	519	296,463
	API-G	627	661	708
American Indian or Alaska Native	Students	4	62	30,394
	API-G		694	743
Asian	Students	385	5,336	406,527
	API-G	796	863	906
Filipino	Students	72	1,445	121,054
	API-G	768	793	867
Hispanic or Latino	Students	643	7,488	2,438,951
	API-G	604	662	744
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	Students	3	105	25,351
	API-G		679	774
White	Students	18	1,278	1,200,127
	API-G	685	791	853
Two or More Races	Students	5	305	125,025
	API-G		799	824
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	Students	867	8,310	2,774,640
	API-G	669	701	743
English Learners	Students	880	8,673	1,482,316
	API-G	672	730	721
Students with Disabilities	Students	103	1,515	527,476
	API-G	435	466	615

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/.

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	No	No
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	No
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	No	No
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	No	No
Met API Criteria	No	Yes
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	Yes	Yes

Admission Requirements for California's Public Universities

University of California

Admission requirements for the University of California (UC) follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state's high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college course work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students are adequately prepared for University-level work.

For general admissions requirements, please visit the UC Admissions Information webpage at www.universityofcalifornia.edu/admissions/. (Outside source)

California State University

Eligibility for admission to the California State University (CSU) is determined by three factors:

- Specific high school courses
- Grades in specified courses and test scores
- Graduation from high school

Some campuses have higher standards for particular majors or students who live outside the local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higher standards (supplementary admission criteria) for all applicants. Most CSU campuses have local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historically served by a CSU campus in that region. For admission, application, and fee information see the CSU webpage at www.calstate.edu/admission/admission.shtml. (Outside source)

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements

This table displays, by student group, the number of students who were a part of the school's most recent graduating class for which CDE has available data and meet all state and local graduation requirements for grade twelve completion, including having passed both the ELA and mathematics portions of the CAHSEE or received a local waiver or state exemption.

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements			
Group	Graduating Class of 2013		
	School	District	State
All Students	228	5,412	418,598
Black or African American	4	198	28,078
American Indian or Alaska Native		11	3,123
Asian	94	1765	41,700
Filipino	22	524	12,745
Hispanic or Latino	101	2345	193,516
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	3	43	2,585
White	4	460	127,801
Two or More Races		52	6,790
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	185	2820	217,915
English Learners	116	1714	93,297
Students with Disabilities	14	361	31,683

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate			
Indicator	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Dropout Rate (1-year)	22.20	22.40	24.30
Graduation Rate	74.56	66.15	65.70
District			
Dropout Rate (1-year)	17.50	17.40	14.80
Graduation Rate	80.92	77.13	80.10
Dropout Rate (1-year)	16.60	14.70	13.10
Graduation Rate	80.53	77.14	78.73

* The National Center for Education Statistics graduation rate as reported in AYP is provided in this table.

California High School Exit Examination

The California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) is primarily used as a graduation requirement. However, the grade ten results of this exam are also used to establish the percentages of students at three proficiency levels (not proficient, proficient, or advanced) in ELA and mathematics to compute AYP designations required by the federal ESEA, also known as NCLB. For detailed information regarding CAHSEE results, see the CDE CAHSEE Web site at cahsee.cde.ca.gov/.

CAHSEE Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
Subject	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
English-Language Arts	35	36	39
Mathematics	46	50	51
District			
English-Language Arts	55	54	56
Mathematics	61	61	63
English-Language Arts	59	56	57
Mathematics	56	58	60

Advanced Placement Courses (School Year 2011-12)		
Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science		---
English	2	---
Fine and Performing Arts		---
Foreign Language	5	---
Mathematics	6	---
Science	5	---
Social Science	2	---
All courses	20	3.9

* Where there are student course enrollments.

California High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

Group	English-Language Arts			Mathematics		
	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced
All Students in the LEA	44	22	34	37	33	30
All Students at the School	61	18	21	49	32	20
Male	65	18	16	49	33	19
Female	56	18	25	49	31	21
Black or African American						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian	40	22	37	20	40	40
Filipino	44	22	34	35	45	19
Hispanic or Latino	75	15	10	69	24	7
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander						
White						
Two or More Races						
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	66	17	17	54	29	17
English Learners	97	3		79	16	5
Students with Disabilities	93	4	4	90	5	5
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services						

Career Technical Education Participation	
Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of pupils participating in CTE	299
Percent of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	18%
Percent of CTE courses sequenced/ articulated between the school/ institutions of postsecondary education	100%

Courses for University of California and/or California State University	
UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2012-13 Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	72.2
2011-12 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	23.2

Career Technical Education Programs

This section provides information about Career Technical Education (CTE) programs including:

- Programs and classes offered that are specifically focused on career preparation and or preparation for work
- How these programs and classes are integrated with academic courses and how they support academic achievement
- How the school addresses the needs of all students in career preparation and/or preparation for work, including needs unique to defined special populations of students
- The measurable outcomes of these programs and classes, and how they are evaluated
- State the primary representative of the district’s CTE advisory committee and the industries represented on the committee

Our high school offers courses intended to help students prepare for the world of work. These career technical education courses (CTE, formerly known as vocational education) are open to all students.

Courses/Programs include:

Engineering
Construction Technology